

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/811,075	03	/16/2001	Carl Arne Krister Borrebaeck	BIOT 100	6298
23579	7590	10/21/2002			
	1,075 03/16/2001 Carl Arne Krister Borrebaeck 7590 10/21/2002 TREA L. PABST LLAND & KNIGHT LLP TE 2000, ONE ATLANTIC CENTER I WEST PEACHTREE STREET, N.E.	EXAMINER			
SUITE 2000	, ONE ATL	ANTIC CENTE		Krister Borrebaeck BIOT 100 6298	
ATLANTA, GA 30309-3400				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1639	. .
				DATE MAILED: 10/21/2002	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/811,075	BORREBAECK ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	T. D. Wessendorf	1639
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
Period for Reply		1011711/01 77011
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of th nod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo atute. cause the application to become	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		
,	This action is non-final.	•
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und		
Disposition of Claims		,
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the applica	ition.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		-
8) Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	- · ·	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	* *	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		···
3. Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International* See the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	•
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No) 5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/811,075

Art Unit: 1639

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1, 3-8, 11-16, drawn to a method of making an array of anti-ligands, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- II. Claims 2, 9, 10, drawn to a method of isolating a ligand, classified in class 435, subclass 4+.
- III. Claims 17, 21, 23, 25 drawn to a use of the array, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- IV. Claims 18, 22, 24, 26 drawn to a use of an identical arrays, classified in class 435, subclass 4+.
- V. Claims 19-20 drawn to a use of an array using fluorescent reporters, classified in class 435, subclass 4+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP §

Art Unit: 1639

806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions relate to two distinct methods of making an array of anti-ligand and a ligand with a tagging agent isolated from the anti-ligand. Accordingly, different method steps and/or end results are produced by each method.

Inventions III-V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions relate to distinct uses of an array comprising different components and/or method steps. For example, Group V requires fluorescent reporter molecules while Groups III and IV do not require said reporters. The array in Group III may relate to different or separate not necessarily, identical arrays. Thus each uses requires different steps and/or components to effect a result.

Inventions I-II and III-V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions of Groups I and II relate to a method of making while

Groups III-V relate to a use. The product arrays can be used as a probe or to make another duplicate array.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, and the search required for Group I is not co-extensive Group II-V, (especially with respect to literature searches) restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Election/Restrictions of species

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Anti-ligand:

- 1. Antibody
- 2. Antigen binding variant or derivative
- 3. Nucleic acid

Each of these species differs in structure and the method to make and assay each of these compounds involve different reagents and/or steps that result in different products.

Accordingly, the patentability determination of each of these compounds is different.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the

Art Unit: 1639

claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or

Art Unit: 1639

admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

REASSIGNMENT OF LOCATION

The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 1639.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to T. D. Wessendorf whose telephone number is (703) 308-3967. The examiner can normally be reached on Flexitime.

Art Unit: 1639

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang can be reached on (703) 306-3217. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7924 for regular communications and (703) 308-7924 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

T. D. Wessendorf Primary Examiner Art Unit 1639

tdw October 21, 2002